

SOME PROPERTIES OF FIXED POINT THEOREM IN D-METRIC SPACE

DEEPTI AGARWAL & RUCHIKA TYAGI

Assistant Professors, KITPS Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

Some results given we have in D-metric spaces are obtained and the theorems is introduced results are unique and contradictory. The D-metric topology is defined some topological properties of fixed point, Continuous, Completeness and Multivalued of D-metric space.

KEYWORDS: D-Metric Spaces, Theorems, Fixed Point Theorem

INTRODUCTION

Sastri Babu and Naidu [7]Obtained common fixed point theorem for two partially commuting pairs of self maps using a rational inequality. Pagey [2] proved same fixed point theorem using concept of compatible mappings and relative asymptotically regularity. Modi, Tiwari, and Chande [1] tried to find result on D – metric space over a topologycal semi field point theorem for rational inequality Shrivastava and Ghosh [9] has also studied some properties of fixed point theorem in special cases. Rao, Nirmala and Devi [5] Modified some fixed point theorem Vadshoh and gagrini [10] got a common fixed point theorem for pairs of commuting maps on a D- metric space. Rajput Anil and Smriti Arya [3] proved some result on fixed point for θ - contractive mappings in D – metric space.

Sharma and Ravi Dewan [8]extended results of Ganguli and Bondpadhyayto give some fixed point theorem for four mappings in a D – metric space using D – compatibility. Our aim is to consider the inequality used by Rajput & Arya [4] and keeping in view of Shrivastava and Ghosh [9] to obtain some fixed point theorem.

1.1 PRELIMINARIES

Here R+ denotes set of all non -negative real numbers N the set of natural numbers.

 $\emptyset R^+ \rightarrow R^+; n \rightarrow \infty Q^n(t) = 0, \emptyset(t) < t$

A non-empty set together with X and a function

 $D: X \times X \times X \rightarrow R^+$ called aD- metric on X becomes a D – metric space (X, D), If D satisfies.

1.1.1

(a) $D(x, y, z) \ge 0 \forall x, y, z \in X$ equality only when x = y = z

(b) $D(x, y, z) = \emptyset D(y, x, z) = \cdots$ symmetric

(c) $VD(x, y, z) \le D(x, y, a) + D(x, a, z) + D(a, y, z) \forall x, y, z, a \in X$

1.1.2

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a D – metric space (X, D) is said to be D –convergent and convergent at a point $x \in X$ if

1.1.3

 $\frac{D}{mnP}\left(x_{m},x_{n},n\right)=0$

1.1.4 (Def)

A complete D – metric space X one in which every D Cauchy sequence converges to a point in X.

1.1.5 (Def)

A subset of S on X is said to be bounded if there exists a constant M > 0 such that D (x, y, z) < M \forall x, y, z \in S then constant M is called the d- bounded on S.

1.1.6 (Def)

A metric X is compact if there exist a finite number of elements $x_{1,}, x_{2}, ..., x_{n}$ in X such that $X \subset \bigcup_{i=1} B(x, t)$

1.1.7(Def)

A metric space (X,D) is said to be compact D – Metric space if every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X has convergent subsequence.

1.1.8 (Def)

Let $x_0 \in X$ be fixed and $\in > 0$ is given then we define the open balls $B^*(x_0, \in) \& B(x_0, \in)$ in X centered of x_0 of radius \in represented by.

1.1.9

$$B^{*}(x_{0}, \epsilon) = \{y \in X: D(x_{0}, y, z) < \epsilon\} \& \begin{cases} B(x_{0}, \epsilon) = \\ \bigcap_{z \in X}(y, z \in X, D(x_{0}, y, z) < \epsilon) \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Then collection of all open balls { $B^*(x, \in): x \in X \& B(x, \in): x \in X$ }

Defines topologies on X denoted by τ^* and τ respectively.

1.1.10

If B (X) is the collection of all non -empty bounded subsets of a D- metric space (X, D) for A, B, C \in B (X)

1.1.11

Let H (a,b,c) = Z sup {D (a,b,c); $a \in A, b \in B, c \in C$ }then

1.1.12

(a) $H(A, B, C) \ge 0 \forall A, B, C \in B(X)$ and $H(A, B, C) = 0 \Rightarrow A = B = C$

With a singleton and if A,B,C then H(A, B, C) = perimeter of largest In set A > 0 otherwise H is singleton.

$$(\mathbf{b}) \ H \ (A, B, C) = H \ (B, C, A) = H \ (C, A, B) \ \forall \ A, B, C \in \mathcal{B} \ (X)$$

(c)
$$H(A, B, C) ≤ H(A, B, E) + H(A, E, C) + H(E, B, C) ∀ A, B, C ∈ B(X)$$

1.1.13 (Def)

Let (X, D) be a D – metric space and CB (X) be the set of all bounded closed subset of X. Let $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$, T is said to be multi-valued contraction mapping iff

 $H(T_x, T_y, T_z) \le q D(x, y, z) \forall x, y, z \in X$ where $0 \le q < 1$ is a fixed real number.

Index Copernicus Value: 3.0 - Articles can be sent to editor.bestjournals@gmail.com

1.1 14 (Def)

An orbit o(x) multiplication $T: X \to C B(X)$ as the point x is the sequence $\{X_n x_n \in T x_{n-1}\}$ where $x_0 = x$, 0(x) is called singular iff

$$D(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) \le D(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})$$

$$D(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) \le H(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2})$$

1.1.15 (Def)

A multiplication T is said to be contraction iff for each

 $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in Xwith x_1 \neq x_2 \neq x_3 H(Tx_1, Tx_2, Tx_3) \leq D(x_1, x_2, x_3)$

1.1.16 (Def)

A mapping F: $(X, D_x) \rightarrow (y, D_y)$ is said to be continuous if u is any open set any then F'u is open in X.

1.2 MAIN RESULT

Let (X,D) be complete bounded D -metric space and $T: X \to C B(x)$

Be multivalued and orbitally continuous satisfying [4]

1.2.1

$$H(T_x, T_y, T_z) \le \alpha_1 D^*(x, y, z) + \alpha_2 D^*(x, Tx, z) + \alpha_3 D^*(y, Ty, T_z) + \alpha_4 D^* \frac{(y, Ty, T_z)[1 + D^*(x, Tx, z)]}{1 + D^*(x, y, z)}$$

Here

$$D^{*}(a, b, c) = Inf\{D(a, b, c): a \in A, b \in B, c \in C \forall A, B, C \in CB(X)\}$$

And $0 < (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) < 1$; $0 < (r - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4) < 1$; o < r < 1, α_1 are non negative real numbers then T is a fixed

Proof

point

Let $x \in X$ be arbitrary and for sequence $\{x_n\}$, we have $x_{n-1} \in T$ x_n , $n \in \{0\} \cup N$, for a positive number λ , we find

 $H(T_{x_0}, T_{x_1}, T_{x_2}) < \lambda \implies D(x_1, x_2, x_0) < \lambda \text{ And also let}$ $\lambda = r^{-1} H(T_{x_0}, T_{x_1}, T_{x_2}) \text{ Using (1.3.1) we get}$ $D(x_1, x_2, x_3) \le r^{-1} H(T_{x_0}, T_{x_1}, T_{x_2})$

1.2.2

$$D(x_1, x_2, x_3) \leq r^{-1} \quad H(T_{x_0}, T_{x_1}, T_{x_2}) \leq r^{-1} \left[\alpha_1 D^*(x_0, x_1, x_2) + \alpha_2 D^*(x_0, Tx_0, x_2) + \alpha_3 D^*(x_1, Tx_1, Tx_2) + \alpha_4 D^* \frac{((x_1, Tx_1, Tx_2))[1 + D^*(x_0, Tx_0, x_2)]}{1 + D^*(x_0, x_1, x_2)} \right]$$

Or

$$\leq r^{-1} \left[\alpha_1 D(x_0, x_1, x_2) + \alpha_2 D(x_0, x_1, x_2) + \alpha_3 D(x_1, x_2, x_3) + \alpha_4(x_1, x_2, x_3) \frac{[1+D^*(x_0, x_1, x_2)]}{1+D^*(x_0, x_1, x_2)} \right] \leq r^{-1} \left[(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) D(x_1, x_2, x_3) + (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) D(x_1, x_2, x_3) \right]$$

Thus finally we obtained

1.2.3

 $D(x_1, x_2, x_3) [1 - r^{-1} (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)] \le (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) r^{-1} D(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ This is reduced to

$$D(x_1, x_2, x_3) \le \left(\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{r - \alpha_3 + \alpha_4}\right) D(x_0, x_1, x_2)$$

Take $\left(\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{r - \alpha_3 + \alpha_4}\right) = \delta$

Therefore, we get

1.2.4

$$\begin{split} D(x_1, x_2, x_3) &\leq \delta D\big(T_{x_1}, T_{x_2}, T_{x_3}\big) \leq r^{-1} H\big(T_{x_1}, T_{x_2}, T_{x_3}\big) \\ &\leq r^{-1} \left[\alpha_1 D^*(x_1, x_2, x_3) + \alpha_2 D^*(x_1, Tx_1, x_3) + \alpha_3 D^*(x_2, Tx_2, x_3) + D^*(x_2, Tx_2, x_3) \{\frac{1 + D^*(x_1, Tx_1, x_3)}{1 + D^*(x_1, x_2, x_3)}\}\big)\right] \end{split}$$

Or

$$D(x_2, x_3, x_4) \le r^{-1} \left[\alpha_1 D(x_1, x_2, x_3) + \alpha_2 D(x_1, x_2, x_3) + \alpha_3 D(x_2, x_3, x_4) + \alpha_4 D(x_2, x_3, x_4) \right]$$

Finally we find

$$D(x_2, x_3, x_4) \left[1 - r^{-1} (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)\right] \le r^{-1} (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) D(x_1, x_2, x_3)$$
$$D(x_2, x_3, x_4) \le \left(\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{1 - r^{-1} (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)}\right) D(x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

Thus we have

1.2.5

 $D(x_2, x_3, x_4) \le \delta D(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ From(1.3.4) and (1.3.5), we get

1.2.6

 $D(x_2, x_3, x_4) \le \delta^2 D(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ Continuing this process we have $D(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \le \delta^n D(x_0, x_1, x_2)$

Since $D(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ is bounded therefore we obtain

1.2.7

 $D(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \le \delta^n M$

We can write

$$D(x_n, x_{n+p}, x_{n+p+q}) \le D(x_n, x_{n+p}, x_{n+1}) + D(x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}, x_{n+p+q}) zs + D(x_n, x_{n+p}, x_{n+1})$$

Or equivalently

1.2.8

Index Copernicus Value: 3.0 - Articles can be sent to editor.bestjournals@gmail.com

$$D(x_{n}, x_{n+p}, x_{n+p+q}) \le D(x_{n}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) + D(x_{n}, x_{n+p}, x_{n+2}) zs + D(x_{n+2}, x_{n+p}, x_{n+1}) + D(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p+q}) + D(x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}, x_{n+p+q})$$

Which in view of 1.3.7 yields

1.2.9

$$D(x_n, x_{n+p}, x_{n+p+q}) \le 2 \,\delta^n M + 2\delta^{n+1} M + 2\delta^{n+2} M +$$
$$= \le 2 \,\delta^n M \cdot \frac{1}{1-0}, \ \delta^n \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty \to 0$$

Thus $\{x_n\}$ is D- Cauchy.

Since (X, D) is complete D – metric space. Let $\{x_n\} \to u$. Since T is orbitally continuous $\{Tx_n \to Tu\}$ as $x_n \in Tx_{n-1} \forall n \in \{0\} \cup N$. Then $u \in Tu$ is a common fixed point of T in X.

From 1.3.1

$$D(u, u, v) \le r^{-1} \left[\alpha_1 D^*(u, u, v) + \alpha_2 D^*(u, Tu, v) + \alpha_3 D^*(u, Tu, Tv) + \alpha_4 D^*(u, Tu, Tv) \frac{[1+D^*(u, Tu, v)]}{1+D^*(u, u, v)} \right]$$

Which is reduced to

$$D(u, u, v) \le r^{-1} [\alpha_1 D(u, u, v) + \alpha_2 D(u, u, v) + \alpha_3 D(u, u, v) \alpha_4 D(u, u, v)]$$

 $D(u, u, v) \le 0 \Rightarrow u = v$

Hence u is a common fixed point of T in X

1.3 GENERALISED RESULTS

Theorem 1.4.1

If S, T be two self maps then for D – metric space X, X be (S, T) orbitally complete and (S, T) is also orbitally bounded then from Rao and Dev [5] we have S and T have a unique common fixed point.

1.3.1

$$D^{*}(\delta x, Ty, z) \leq q \begin{bmatrix} maxD^{*}(x, y, z), D^{*}(x, \delta y, z), D^{*}(y, Ty, z), \\ D^{*}(x, Ty, z), D^{*}(u, \delta y, z) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\forall x, y, \in X \text{ and } z \in [0(S, T, x) \cup 0(T, S, y)]$$

Proof. S and T have a unique common fixed point also we have

1.3.2

$$(\mathbf{a}) G(x) = \min \begin{bmatrix} D^{*}(x, \delta x, \delta x), D^{*}(x, Tx, Tx), D^{*}(x_{1}, x_{1}, \delta x), \\ D^{*}(x, x, Tx), D^{*}(x, \delta x, T\delta x), D^{*}(x, Tx, \delta Tx) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(\mathbf{b}) H_{1}(x) = max[D^{*}(x, \delta x, \delta x)D^{*}(x, x, \delta x)D^{*}(x, \delta x, T\delta x)]$$

$$(\mathbf{c}) H_{2}(x) = max[D^{*}(x, Tx, Tx)D^{*}(x, x, Tx)]$$

Here we use of the following.

1.3.3

$$G(\mathbf{u}) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \max\{H_1(x_{2n}) \to H_2(x_{2n+1})\} \ x_0 \in X, x_{2n+1} = \delta x_{2n} x_{2n+2} = T x_{2n+1},$$

n =0, 2,. & { x_n } $\rightarrow u$

let us take a sequence as follows.

1.3.4

 $(\mathbf{a})x_{2n+1} = \delta x_{2n}$

 $x_{2n+2} = T x_{2n+1 n=0,1,2 \dots}$

Since $\{x_n\}$ is D Cauchy sequence. So $\{x_n\}$ converges to u $\epsilon X(G, H_1, H_2)$ is a pair orbitally lower semi continuous at u ϵX then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

1.3.5

$$G(u) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \max\{H_1(x_{2n}), H_2(x_{2n+1})\}$$

1.3.6

$$= G(\mathbf{u}) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \max \left\{ \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D^*(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}), D^*(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, \delta x_{2n}) \\ D^*(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \\ D^*(x_{n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}) \\ D^*(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \\ D^*(x_{n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}) \end{array} \right\} \right\}$$

since $\{x_n\}$ is D Cauchy sequence.

Therefore we obtain

 $\mathsf{Min} \left\{ D^*(\delta_2, \delta u, \delta u), D^*(u, Tu, Tu), D^*(u, u, \delta u), D^*(u, u, Tu), D^*(u, u, T\delta u), D^*(u, Tu, \delta Tu) \right\}$

Thus $\delta u = u$

Or Tu = u

Or $\delta u = u = Tu$

Case I:

```
Let \delta u = u \& Or Tu \neq u

D^*(u, Tu, u) > 0

D^*(u, Tu, u) = D^*(\delta u, Tu, u)

\leq q \begin{bmatrix} max D^*(u, u, u), D^*(u, u, u), D^*(u, Tu, u, ), D^*(u, Tu, u, ), D^*(u, u, u), D^*(u, Tu, u, ), D^*(u
```

It is a contradictory

Index Copernicus Value: 3.0 - Articles can be sent to editor.bestjournals@gmail.com

Case II

If T u = u then one can get Su = u

Thus u is a common fixed point of S & T uniqueness can easily be shown.

$$D^{*}(u, Tu, v,) = D^{*}(Su, Tu, v,)$$

$$\leq q \max \begin{bmatrix} D^{*}(u, Su, Sv), D^{*}(u, Tu, Tv), D^{*}(u, Tu, Sv,), D^{*}(u, u, Tv,), \\ D^{*}(u, u, TSv), D^{*}(u, Tu, STv) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\leq q \max \begin{bmatrix} D^{*}(u, u, v), D^{*}(u, u, v), D^{*}(u, u, v,), D^{*}(u, u, v,), \\ D^{*}(u, u, v), D^{*}(u, u, v) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\leq q \max D^{*}(u, u, v)$$

$$D^{*}(u, u, v) \leq D^{*}(u, u, v)$$

It is contradictory.

Because u = v

Hence proved.

Result- We have to prove that some properties of fixed point theorem in D-metric space.

My work is total unique and easy to shown the result is properly.

REFERENCES

- Modi Geeta, Rashmi Tiwari and Chandel R.S.(2006): Common fixed point theorem on D metric space over topological semi fields, Acta Ciencia Indica, XXXII,575 – 580.
- 2. Pagey S. S., Uma Vyas and Deepak Singh (2004): Common fixed point theorem of four mappings in D metric space, Acta Ciencia Indica, XXX, 95 102.
- 3. Rajput Anil and Smiriti Arya (2002): fixed point theorem for Ø − contractive mappings in D − metric space, Jnanabha, 31-32,113 − 120.
- Rajput Anil and smriti Arya (2003): fixed point theorem in Dhage metric space, Acta Ciencia Indica, XXIX,187-194.
- Rao K. P. R. and B. Nirmala evi (2005): Modification of some fixed point theorem in D metric space, Acta Ciencia Indica, XXXI,477-486.
- Saluza A. S. and Daheria R. D. (2004): fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings in D metric space, Acta Ciencia Indica, XXX, 131-138.
- 7. Shastri K. P. R., Babu G. V. R. and Naidu G. A. (2001): A note on common fixed point for four maps, Acta Ciencia Indica, XXVII, 199-201.

- Sharma Sushil and Dewan Ravi (1997): Some results on common fixed point in D metric space, Acta Ciencia Indica, XXIII, 181-186.
- 9. Shrivastava Meena and Subal Chandra Ghosh: Properties of fixed point theorem in d metric space, Acta Ciencia Indica, XXX, 1313-1324.
- Vadshaoh V. H. and Shweta Gagrini (2006): Common fixed point theorem in d metric space, Acta Ciencia Indica, XXXII, 1669-1675.